

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. '	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,740	06/18/2001	John G. McDonough	TI-31695	1761
	7590 04/10/200 <sup>-</sup> UMENTS INCORPOR	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			DSOUZA, JOSEPH FRANCIS A	
DALLAS, IX	DALLAS, TX 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			<del></del>	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		_				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/883,740	MCDONOUGH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Adolf DSouza	2611			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
WHI0 - Exte afte - If No - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo e, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ja	anuary 2007.				
	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 2, 4 - 15, 17 - 49 is/are pending in the	e application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6 – 15, 17 – 44, 49</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2,4,5,45 and 47</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>46, 48</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
<b>a</b> )	)					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		• •			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	*	en received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea					
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.			
Attachme	nt(s)					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,740 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

### Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks (page 14 15) filed 1/29/2007 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 2 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Storm et al. (US 6,016,312) and Yu et al. (US 6,735,454).
- 2. In Officer Action dated 3/27/2006, Examiner had used Storm et al. (US 6,016,312) and Yu et al. (US 6,735,454) to reject claims 1,2,4,5 and 16. Applicant had responded to this Office Action in Remarks dated 9/30/2006, stating that there was no motivation to combine Storm and Yu. On closer examination of the above two references, Examiner believes that there was sufficient motivation to combine the two references and is therefore using those again. Applicant had stated that in Storm's system that there is "substantial synchronization with system timing and that because of this there is no reason to add further steps (Remarks 9/20/2006; page 13, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above. Storm discloses several times that after coming out of sleep mode, the timing isn't accurate enough and it needs to be reacquired (Fig. 3B, element 344; column 1, lines 39 41, 54 59).
- 3. The Finality of the previous rejection is being withdrawn and Examiner is generating a new Non-Final rejection, as described below.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,740 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Storm et al. (US 6,016,312) in view of Yu et al. (US 6,735,454).

Regarding claim 2, Storm et al. discloses in direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) communications, a method for recovering system timing, the method comprising (col. 1, lines 39-41, col. 3, lines 13-14, 25-26):

disabling a reference clock during a sleep interval (col. 5, lines 50-53, col. 7, lines 63-67, col. 8, lines 56-61);

following the sleep interval, enabling the reference clock (col. 5, lines 11-25, col. 6, lines 42-47, col. 7, lines 11-13, col. 9, lines 27-33);

modifying the system timing by a ratio, where the ratio is the reference clock frequency divided by the sleep clock frequency (col. 6, lines 1-6);

measuring a reacquisition error and wherein calculating the ratio includes calculating the ratio in response to the reacquisition error (col. 1, lines 51-59, col. 8, lines 33-35, col. 9, lines 52-58).

Application/Control Number: 09/883,740

in control realises: coroco;

Art Unit: 2611

Storm does not disclose wherein the sleep clock frequency is adjusted for frequency

drift.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Yu discloses wherein the sleep clock frequency

is adjusted for frequency drift (abstract, col. 6, lines 22-26).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Storm

et al. to incorporate wherein the sleep clock frequency is adjusted for frequency drift in

order to compensate for the initial and final offsets to re-activate the high frequency

clock to be re-activated based upon fractional portions of the low frequency clock (Yu et

al., col. 4, lines 16-21).

Regarding claim 4, Storm discloses prior to disabling the reference clock, determining

the number of sleep clock periods in the sleep interval; and wherein disabling reference

clock during the sleep interval includes disabling the reference clock for the determined

number of sleep clock periods (col. 7, lines 11-13, 40-45, 63-67, col. 8, line 1).

All other limitations of claim 4 are as analyzed in claim 2 above.

Regarding claim 5, Storm discloses determining the number of sleep clock periods in

the sleep interval includes determining the number of sleep clock periods using the ratio

(col. 6, lines 30-52, col. 8, lines 32-35).

Application/Control Number: 09/883,740

Art Unit: 2611

6. Claims 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Storm et al. (US 6,016,312) in view of Nogawa (US 6,147,530).

Regarding claim 45, Storm in direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) communications, a method for recovering system timing, the method comprising (col. 1, lines 39-41, col. 3, lines 13-14, 25-26):

disabling a reference clock during a sleep interval (col. 5, lines 50-53, col. 7, lines 63-67, col. 8, lines 56-61);

following the sleep interval, enabling the reference clock (col. 5, lines 11-25, col. 6, lines 42-47, col. 7, lines 11-13, col. 9, lines 27-33);

modifying the system timing by a ratio, where the ratio is a frequency of the reference clock divided by the frequency of a sleep clock (col. 6, lines 1-6).

Storm does not disclose that the frequency of the reference clock is obtained from the rising and falling edges of the reference clock.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Nogawa discloses the frequency of the clock is based upon an average of the number of rising and falling edges of the reference clock (column 13, lines 34 – 38; wherein the period of the clock of obtained from the rising and falling edges and the frequency can be calculated from the period).

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Nogawa, in the system of Storm because this would allow the frequency of the clock signal to be determined.

7. Claims 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Storm et al. (US 6,016,312) in view of Yu et al. (US 6,735,454) and further in view of Chung et al. (US 5,642,377).

Regarding claim 47, Storm discloses in a direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) communications, a method for recovering system timing, the method comprising (col. 1, lines 39-41, col. 3, lines 13-14, 25-26):

disabling a reference clock during a sleep interval (col. 5, lines 50-53, col. 7, lines 63-67, col. 8, lines 56-61);

following the sleep interval, enabling the reference clock (col. 5, lines 11-25, col. 6, lines 42-47, col. 7, lines 11-13, col. 9, lines 27-33);

and modifying the system timing by a ratio, where the ratio is the reference clock frequency divided by a sleep clock frequency (col. 6, lines 1-6).

Storm does not disclose the ratio is adjusted for frequency drift and that the ratio is smoothened out using an IIR filter.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,740 Page 7

Art Unit: 2611

In the same field of endeavor, however, Yu discloses wherein the sleep clock frequency is adjusted for frequency drift (abstract, col. 6, lines 22-26).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Storm et al. to incorporate wherein the sleep clock frequency is adjusted for frequency drift in order to compensate for the initial and final offsets to re-activate the high frequency clock to be re-activated based upon fractional portions of the low frequency clock (Yu et al., col. 4, lines 16-21).

In the same field of endeavor, however, Chung discloses applying an IIR filter to a current and a previous value of the ratio, whereby an error in the ratio is smoothed out (Fig. 6, element 22; column 8, lines 28 – 30. Chung discloses noise is smoothened out but of ordinary skill in the art knows that an IIR lowpass filter can be used to smoothen out any signal, including the ratio values).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Chung, in the system of Storm because this would allow the ratio to be averaged out, thereby reducing high frequency fluctuations in the ratio.

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 6 – 15, 17 – 44, 49 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2611

9. Claims 46 and 48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Other Prior Art Cited

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to sleep mode in mobile receivers:

Roberts et al. (US 6,212,398) discloses u Wireless telephone that rapidly reacquires a timing reference from a wireless network after a sleep mode.

Koenck et al. (US 6,014,705) discloses a modular portable data processing terminal having a higher layer and lower layer partitioned communication protocol stack for use in a radio frequency communications network.

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adolf DSouza whose telephone number is 571-272-1043. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,740

Art Unit: 2611

2611 .

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on 571-272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Adolf DSouza Examiner Art Unit 2611

AD

David C. Turne DAVID C PAYNE